

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**


COURTNEY LUSK,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	CASE NO: CV-24-381-R
v.)	
)	
MIDLAND CREDIT)	
MANAGEMENT, INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

ORDER

Before the Court is Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint [Doc. 14]. During the pendency of the Motion, Plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint [Doc. 15]. The Amended Complaint "supersedes the original and renders it of no legal effect." *Davis v. TXO Prod. Corp.*, 929 F.2d 1515, 1517 (10th Cir. 1991) (internal quotation omitted).

Defendant's Motion to Dismiss [Doc. 14] is therefore DENIED without prejudice to resubmission, if appropriate.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 11th day of July, 2024.



DAVID L. RUSSELL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE